UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,623	06/26/2003	Keiji Katata	Q76304	4461
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/22/200 ON, PLLC	EXAM	IINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	TEKLE, DANIEL T		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	рә	Examiner's signature, if require	Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
	anon	is the common of the processing as the man is					
	1	MELANGO AGOTOGA DELLA SELLA SE					
		AM-8200A8 O ANSRAM					
	- Horseld	Mauche D Bank					
	FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO						
	GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS						
			THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE				
	allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
	eed world refliger the claims	igs renimexe eni nomw sinembi	A ruller description, it necessary, and a copy or the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no				
M	reached, or any other comments: The was no agreed based based on the of the dustine of the dustine description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims						
-O (if an agreement was	al nature of what was agreed to	Substance of Interview including description of the general				
	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) □ N/A.						
	Identification of prior art discussed: Par Lus 6,625,094)						
	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) I Yes, brief description:						
	Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ applicant's representative]						
	Date of Interview: 16 April 2008.						
		(Þ)	(S) <u>WARSHA BANKS-HAROLD</u>				
	·	(3) ARTEM N. SOKOLOV.	(1) DANIEL TEKLE				
	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
	2621	DANIEL TEKLE					
	Art Unit	Examiner	Interview Summary				
			The Post of the Po				

10/603,623

Application No.

Paper No. 20080416

KATATA, KELJI

Applicant(s)